

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,303	11/19/2003	James Economy	ILL09-030-US	6472
43320 EVAN LAW (7590 09/23/200 GROUPLIC	EXAMINER		
600 WEST JACKSON BLVD., SUITE 625			HUSON, MONICA ANNE	
CHICAGO, IL	. 60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/717,303	ECONOMY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MONICA A. HUSON	1791		

	MONICA A. HUSON	1791	l
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following judaplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: The period for reply expires	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	,,, , ,		le issues ioi
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	oplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		conductive diction	so posados.
13. Other:			
	/Monica A Huson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1791	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: although applicant contends that Economy and Mitchell do not suggest the claimed invention, the examiner maintains the rejections.

Applicant contends that Economy and Mitchell do not suggest the instant invention because Mitchell uses his first heating/pressure step to form a prous preform, while Economy uses his first heating to form a dense composite. This is not persuase heacuse primarily, the aspects of the disclosures that are being combined are the heating/pressurizing concepts, not the intended use or result on the articles. Also, the terms "dense" and "porous" are relative terms and could therefore be broadly interpreted to include arrapping densities. Finally, it is maintained that since both disclosures are dealing with fiber composite articles and address multistep heating/pressurizing processes, the disclosures would be properly combined to suggest the claimed method.